## FREEDOM FROM RELIGION foundation

## P.O. BOX 750 , MADISON, WI 53701 , (608) 256-8900 , WWW.FFRF.ORG

April 6, 2020.

## SENT VIA EMAIL ONLY: <u>govgeneral@wisconsin.gov</u>

The Honorable Tony Evers Governor of Wisconsin 115 E. Capitol #1 Madison, WI 53702

Re: Protective measures for gatherings must apply to churches

Dear Gov. Evers:

Thank you for issuing a prompt stay-at-home order and thank you for also championing a statewide absentee vote. We also applaud you for standing up for science, and the lives of Wisconsinites, by declining some legislators' dangerous request to allow in-person Easter and Passover services.

We are writing on behalf of the Freedom From Religion Foundation's 31,000 members, including nearly 1,500 in Wisconsin, to ask that you modify your order to fully include church and other religious gatherings from Emergency Order 12, which you issued on March 24. It exempts religious gatherings of under 10 people: "Religious facilities, entities, groups, and gatherings, and weddings and funerals, except that any gathering shall include fewer than 10 people in a room or confined space at a time and individuals shall adhere to Social Distancing Requirements as much as possible." §13h.

Since March 24, understanding of the facts have swiftly changed. New information includes the fact that one-third of all COVID cases in one large California county can be traced to church services. The numbers are even bigger elsewhere.<sup>1</sup> Reuters reported: "South Korea announced thousands of coronavirus cases in the space of only a few days in late February. The surge in cases centred mostly around one main cluster from a church in Daegu city."<sup>2</sup> That article documents the harrowing story of *one infected person attending two church services and spreading COVID to another 1,200 people* and that a single "church cluster accounts for at least 60 percent of all cases in South Korea."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hilda Flores, "One-third of COVID-19 cases in Sac County tied to church gatherings, officials say," <u>NBC News KCRA</u> (April 1, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>Reuters</u>, "The Korean clusters: How coronavirus cases exploded in South Korean churches and hospitals," (March 20, 2020).

Even small gatherings can be deadly. This includes gatherings of just 10 people, as permitted in your order. For instance, "Lee County [Alabama] had 105 confirmed cases and three deaths related to the virus. The surrounding counties had a combined total of 119 cases." According to "officials at East Alabama Medical Center ... church gatherings have been tied to a swell in new cases across the area, explaining that even groups smaller than 10 people can spread the coronavirus." As those doctors explained, "There were only 10 people at a time in the building, but the infection still spread."<sup>3</sup> Your Safer at Home order is not safe enough.

Many Wisconsin churches have shown great creativity in adapting to online versions of church services, and are, in fact, admirably doing their part to avoid the spread of the coronavirus. But there are undoubtedly small churches or congregations in Wisconsin that will feel encouraged to meet in person because of the exemption in your order and will, in fact, meet — and that is an unnecessary risk the citizens of our state cannot afford. Religious facilities, entities, groups or gatherings simply are not in a category of providing essential services.

As we wrote you on March 26, prohibiting religious gatherings is not a ban on worship any more than speed limits are a ban on driving. And this is only temporary. These policies are guided by clear science: The more people that gather, the more viruses spread. Viruses do not respect boundaries or holy ground, they simply travel from person to person.

Like all states, Wisconsin *already* regularly limits worship gatherings that jeopardize public health. For instance, the government prohibits churches from cramming too many people into a building in violation of fire codes and also requires that church buildings comply with necessary building codes. *See, e.g., Peace Lutheran Church & Acad. v. Vill. of Sussex,* 246 Wis. 2d 502 (2001)(noting that "any burden the Fire Prevention Code may have on the sincerely held beliefs of the church is outweighed by [Wisconsin's] compelling interest in preserving life and property.") The congregants' right to gather and worship is limited by the government's need to protect those congregants from being trampled to death and the community from a fire. Preventing religious gatherings due to a pandemic is even more crucial.

In agreement is Kelly Shackelford, who runs First Liberty Institute (and has appeared on the opposite side of the courtroom and in the court of public opinion against FFRF on many occasions). He wrote an op-ed for the *Washington Post*,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Jack Helean, "East Alabama Medical Center says surge in COVID-19 cases likely due to church gatherings," <u>ABC 33</u> (April 5, 2020).

co-authored with R. Albert Mohler, a theologian and president of Southern Baptist Theological Seminary. The headline says it all: "Mandatory social distancing is not a threat to religious liberty. It's essential for humanity." These men believe that "asking houses of worship to briefly suspend large gatherings is neither hostile toward religion nor unreasonable in light of the threat. Rather, this is a time for all of us to exercise prudence over defiance."<sup>4</sup>

The current exemption for limited church and religious functions allows some citizens to risk the lives of every citizen. Preachers seeking exemption from social distancing orders are not simply asking for a right to gather and worship, they are also asking for a right to risk the health and lives of every other member of the community and country. They are risking the lives of responsible Wisconsinites, immuno-compromised Wisconsinites, and other people who are, for instance, only risking exposure to get necessary groceries or medicine. These church meetings could risk overburdening the health care system.

Please take the action that is in the best interest of public health. The provision exempting churches from small gatherings will spread the pandemic. Data backs this up. Science tells us so. Stay strong and continue to deny requests for major gatherings on Passover and Easter. And please revise the Safer at Home order and remove the exemption for religious gatherings.

Very truly,

annie Zaurie gagler Dan Barker

Annie Laurie Gaylor & Dan Barker Co-presidents ALG/DB:als

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> April 3, 2020 op-ed. Available at <u>https://wapo.st/3dWT6Xv</u>.