# FREEDOM FROM RELIGION foundation

### P.O. BOX 750 , MADISON, WI 53701 , (608) 256-8900 , WWW.FFRF.ORG

October 28, 2021

## SENT VIA FAX AND U.S. MAIL: 202-228-2186

The Honorable Amy Klobuchar 425 Dirksen Senate Building Washington, DC 20510

Re: Please stop sponsoring and attending the National Prayer Breakfast

Dear Senator Klocbuchar:

We are writing on behalf of the Freedom From Religion Foundation (FFRF) to strongly encourage you not to sponsor or attend the National Prayer Breakfast. FFRF is a national nonprofit association with more than 35,000 members across the country, including 770 members in Minnesota. FFRF protects the constitutional separation between state and church and educates about nontheism.

We understand that in your official capacity as a Member of Congress, you co-sponsored the National Prayer Breakfast in 2021. Although the event is hyped as a neutral and solemn forum for political, social, and business leaders to gather and pray together, the reality is very different. We are writing to make you aware of the serious issues with this event, with more problems coming to light every year.

#### The Breakfast is a pay-to-play political event with a troubling history.

The shadowy Fellowship Foundation organizes the event. The organization has had a pattern of troubling relationships with dictators around the world<sup>1</sup> and actively exports anti-LGBTQ legislation and policy.<sup>2</sup> Originally founded to oppose the New Deal,<sup>3</sup> the event is now the sole, public networking event for the secretive group. The Fellowship Foundation, also known as The Family, and its often anti-democratic agenda was devastatingly exposed by an insider in a 2008 bestselling book that became a Netflix documentary in 2019.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Jeff Sharlet, *The Family: Power, Politics and Fundamentalism's Shadow Elite, e.g.* pp. 24, 215–245, (2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Laurie Goodstein, *National Prayer Breakfast Draws Controversy*, New York Times (Feb. 3, 2010), <u>https://nyti.ms/3mhpavt</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> National Public Radio, 'Family': Fundamentalism, Friends In High Places (July 1, 2009), https://n.pr/3pFZR8v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Sharlet, *The Family*.

New records reveal that Rev. Franklin Graham is currently the primary financial backer of the event, which he admitted is meant to buy access: "They're wanting to be able to rub elbows with somebody that they normally couldn't rub elbows with."<sup>5</sup> A notorious example of how the event is manipulated for political reasons concerns My Pillow CEO Mike Lindell, who credits his rise and relationship with President Trump to being "picked out of 12 people to pray with Ben Carson in a room [at] the National Prayer Breakfast."<sup>6</sup> Another example is Maria Butina, an unregistered foreign agent with ties to Vladimir Putin, who used the event to illegally "back channel" with American officials who attended.<sup>7</sup> Butina pleaded guilty and was convicted in 2018.

Graham justified this pay-to-play scheme by pointing to "the gays, they do everything they can to get their politicians into office."<sup>8</sup> That anti-LGBTQ rhetoric is baked into the event and its organizers. Recent stories have documented how the foreign officials who join the event are often less-than-official and virulently anti-LGBTQ.<sup>9</sup> European LGBTQ groups like Forbidden Colours are objecting to this international influence.<sup>10</sup>

We recognize that many speakers and sponsors of the event have no such agenda, unfortunately it is hard not to conclude that they have been exploited to give a patina of respectability and harmony to the event.

#### Officially sponsoring a religious event violates the Constitution.

In addition to its troubling history and secretive organizers, the National Prayer Breakfast is not the benign "ecumenical" gathering it parades as, but a sectarian religious event. Members of Congress who sponsor or attend the event in their official capacity unfortunately and perhaps inadvertently are sending a clear message that their office endorses the event's religious message, in violation of the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment.

The Supreme Court has held that government officials violate the First Amendment if they even appear to endorse religion. *See, e.g., Capitol Square Review and Advisory Bd. v. Pinette*, 515 U.S. 753, 787 (1995) (Souter, J., concurring) ("Effects matter to the Establishment Clause, and one, principal way that we assess them is by asking whether the practice in question creates the appearance of endorsement to the reasonable observer."); *Cty. of* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Jonathan Larsen, So who's bankrolling the National Prayer Breakfast? Evangelical superstar Franklin Graham, Salon (Oct. 13, 2021), <u>https://bit.ly/3mffnWF</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Tom Boggioni, *Lindell claims a "prophet guy" predicted he would change history before his first meeting with Trump*, Salon (June 20, 2021), <u>https://bit.ly/2ZzP5Ga</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Katherine Stewart, *What Was Maria Butina Doing at the National Prayer Breakfast?*, The New York Times (July 18, 2018), <u>https://nyti.ms/3BlqPnV</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Eliza Griswold, Franklin Graham's Uneasy Alliance with Donald Trump, The New Yorker (Sept. 11, 2018), <u>www.newyorker.com/news/dispatch/franklin-grahams-uneasy-alliance-with-donald-trump</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Jonathan Larsen, Why did prominent Democrats invite anti-LGBTQ Ukrainians to National Prayer Breakfast?, Salon (Oct. 5, 2021), <u>https://bit.ly/3Em9BZD</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Forbidden Colours, Anti-LGBTIQ Initiatives Adapting To Post-Trump World Order, <u>https://bit.ly/3GoIcbr</u>.

Allegheny v. Am. Civil Liberties Union Greater Pittsburgh Chapter, 492 U.S. 573, 593–94 (1989) ("The Establishment Clause, at the very least, prohibits government from appearing to take a position on questions of religious belief . . . ."). Using the power and prestige of public office to promote sectarian religious events violates the spirit of this constitutional obligation.

Members of Congress represent a diverse population that consists not only of Christians, but also citizens with minority religious or nonreligious views. Endorsing religious events in an official capacity alienates the 30 percent of Americans who are non-Christians,<sup>11</sup> including the one in four citizens who is not religious, and the 44 percent of millennials and younger Americans who are non-Christian, most of them likewise without religion.<sup>12</sup> This sponsorship divides constituents along religious lines, creating political insiders and outsiders.

#### Conclusion

Influence-peddling. Russian operatives. An anti-equality rhetoric and ethos. Shadowy organizers with connections to dictators. Any of these are reason enough to avoid official sponsorship with this event. Add the sectarian religious nature and the National Prayer Breakfast is no place for Members of Congress.

Please do not continue to sponsor or attend this event in the future. Certainly, any public official who does attend must take steps to ensure that they are clearly doing so in their personal capacity—their office should not sponsor the event, and they should not agree to be a speaker or otherwise lend the prestige of public office to the event.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter and for upholding the constitutional separation between state and church.

Very truly,

anne Zaure gaylor Jan Barker

Annie Laurie Gaylor & Dan Barker Co-presidents ALG/DB:als/rdj

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Robert P. Jones; Daniel Cox, America's Changing Religious Identity, PUBLIC RELIGION RESEARCH INSTITUTE (Sept. 6, 2017), *available at www.prri.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/PRI-Religion-Report.pdf*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> America's Changing Religious Landscape, PEW RESEARCH CENTER (May 12, 2015), *available at* www.pewforum.org/2015/05/12/americas-changing-religious-landscape/.